

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,266 03/29/2004		Melissa Silvestro	EMC-012 (EMC-04-014)	2765	
32836	7590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
GUERIN & RODRIGUEZ, LLP 5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
	AL OFFICE PARK		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MARLBORO	UGH, MA 01752		3677		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	-60		
Office Action Summary		10/812,26	6	SILVESTRO ET AL.			
		Examiner	-	Art Unit			
		Flemming	Saether	3677			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil tte, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status	~						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is no	on-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)[\square objected to by the ${ t F}$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e		
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 rr No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/812,266

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicnats admitted prior art (APA) in view of US Patent No. 6,746,193 issued to Drake. The APA discloses that it is know to use screws in combinaiton with round type Electronics Industry Association Standard mounting rail and, square type Universal Mounting rail according to NEMA standards but, conceeds that separate screws would be required for each type of mounting. Drake teaches to provide a shoulder (110) to a fastener such that the same fastener could be used in either a round type or square type mounting rail. The shoulder being sized to fit closely with the square hole (see Fig. 6) and rest upon the surface of the surface of the round hole. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the screw of the APA with a shoulder as disclosed in Drake for the same economic reasons as described therein. Once the combinaiton was made, the shoulder would be with the claimed dimensions in order to fit closely within the square opening.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the APA in view of Drake as applied to claim s above, and further in view of Palm (US

6,254,326). Palm teaches to provde a shoulder (15) with a taper (not labeled). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the shoulder of the modified APA with a taper as disclosed in Palm in order to facilitate insertion and alignment into an opening.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified APA as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Conlan (US 5,358,368). Conlan teaches to provde a screw head with combination slotted and Phillips drive. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the head of the modified APA with a combination slotted and Phillips drive so that the head could be convientently driven by a flat or Phillips driver.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner

√Art Unit 3677